

Chapter 568

Two-Sample Superiority by a Margin Tests for Survival Data using Cox Regression

Introduction

This procedure uses Cox (proportional hazards) regression analysis, which models the relationship between a set of one or more covariates and the hazard rate, for making inference about the superiority (by a margin) of a treatment hazard rate compared to a control hazard rate using survival data with independent groups. The question of interest is whether the treatment is better than the control by a certain amount.

Additional discrete or continuous covariates may be included for adjustment before comparison of the two groups. Inference about the superiority of the treatment versus the control is based on the hazard ratio (or risk ratio), HR, where

$$HR = \frac{\text{Hazard}(\text{Treatment Group})}{\text{Hazard}(\text{Control Group})}$$

The details regarding Cox Regression are available in the Cox Regression chapter of the documentation and will not be repeated here. Only the details related specifically to superiority by a margin testing will be covered in this chapter.

The Cox Regression Model

Survival analysis refers to the analysis of elapsed time. The response variable is the time between a *time origin* and an *end point*. The end point is either the occurrence of the event of interest, referred to as a *death* or *failure*, or the end of the subject's participation in the study. These elapsed times have two properties that invalidate standard statistical techniques, such as t-tests, analysis of variance, and multiple regression. First of all, the time values are often positively skewed. Standard statistical techniques require that the data be normally distributed. Although this skewness could be corrected with a transformation, it is easier to adopt a more realistic data distribution.

The second problem with survival data is that part of the data are *censored*. An observation is censored when the end point has not been reached when the subject is removed from study. This may be because the study ended before the subject's response occurred, or because the subject withdrew from active participation. This may be because the subject died for another reason, because the subject moved, or because the subject quit following the study protocol. All that is known is that the response of interest did not occur while the subject was being studied.

When analyzing survival data, two functions are of fundamental interest—the *survivor function* and the *hazard function*. Let T be the survival time. That is, T is the elapsed time from the beginning point, such as diagnosis of cancer, and death due to that disease. The values of T can be thought of as having a *probability distribution*. Suppose the *probability density function* of the random variable T is given by $f(T)$. The *probability distribution function* of T is then given by

Two-Sample Superiority by a Margin Tests for Survival Data using Cox Regression

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(T) &= \Pr(t < T) \\
 &= \int_0^T f(t) dt
 \end{aligned}$$

The *survivor function*, $S(T)$, is the probability that an individual survives past T . This leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(T) &= \Pr(T \geq t) \\
 &= 1 - F(T)
 \end{aligned}$$

The *hazard function* is the probability that a subject experiences the event of interest (death, relapse, etc.) during a small time interval given that the individual has survived up to the beginning of that interval. The mathematical expression for the hazard function is

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(T) &= \lim_{\Delta T \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Pr(T \leq t < (T + \Delta T) | T \leq t)}{\Delta T} \\
 &= \lim_{\Delta T \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(T + \Delta T) - F(T)}{\Delta T} \\
 &= \frac{f(T)}{S(T)}
 \end{aligned}$$

The cumulative hazard function $H(T)$ is the sum of the individual hazard rates from time zero to time T . The formula for the cumulative hazard function is

$$H(T) = \int_0^T h(u) du$$

Thus, the hazard function is the derivative, or slope, of the cumulative hazard function. The cumulative hazard function is related to the cumulative survival function by the expression

$$S(T) = e^{-H(T)}$$

or

$$H(T) = -\ln(S(T))$$

We see that the distribution function, the hazard function, and the survival function are mathematically related. As a matter of convenience and practicality, the hazard function is used in the basic Cox regression model.

Two-Sample Superiority by a Margin Tests for Survival Data using Cox Regression

Cox (1972) expressed the relationship between the hazard rate and a set of covariates using the model

$$\ln[h(T)] = \ln[h_0(T)] + \sum_{i=1}^p x_i \beta_i$$

or

$$h(T) = h_0(T) e^{\sum_{i=1}^p x_i \beta_i}$$

where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p are covariates, $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p$ are regression coefficients to be estimated, T is the elapsed time, and $h_0(T)$ is the baseline hazard rate when all covariates are equal to zero. Thus, the linear form of the regression model is

$$\ln \left[\frac{h(T)}{h_0(T)} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^p x_i \beta_i$$

Taking the exponential of both sides of the above equation, we see that this is the ratio between the actual hazard rate and the baseline hazard rate (i.e., the hazard ratio), and is sometimes called the *relative risk*. This can be rearranged to give the model

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h(T)}{h_0(T)} &= \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^p x_i \beta_i \right) \\ &= e^{x_1 \beta_1} e^{x_2 \beta_2} \dots e^{x_p \beta_p} \end{aligned}$$

The regression coefficients can thus be interpreted as the relative risk when the value of the covariate is increased by one unit.

Note that unlike most regression models, this model does not include an intercept term. This is because if an intercept term were included, it would become part of $h_0(T)$.

Also note that the above model does not include T on the right-hand side. That is, the relative risk is constant for all time values. This is why the method is called *proportional hazards*.

An interesting attribute of this model is that you only need to use the ranks of the failure times to estimate the regression coefficients. The actual failure times are not used except to generate the ranks. Thus, you will achieve the same regression coefficient estimates regardless of whether you enter the time values in days, months, or years.

Superiority by a Margin Test using the Cox Proportional Hazard Regression Model

Suppose you want to evaluate the superiority by a margin of a treatment versus a standard, reference, or control. If **higher hazards are worse**, which is usually the case for survival data where the endpoint represents death or relapse, then the null and alternative hypotheses for the superiority test can be expressed in terms of the hazard ratio ($HR = h_T(T)/h_C(T)$) as

$$H_0: HR \geq M$$

$$H_1: HR < M$$

where $h_T(T)$ is the hazard rate of the treatment group at time T , $h_C(T)$ is the hazard rate of the reference or control group at time T , and M is the superiority bound for the hazard ratio and is less than one. The null hypothesis can be tested at significance level α by constructing a $100(1 - 2\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for HR (not the usual $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ like you might suspect). If the upper bound of the $100(1 - 2\alpha)\%$ confidence interval is less than M , then you can conclude that the treatment is superior to the reference or control with respect to the given margin.

If **higher hazards are better**, which would be the case when T represents time to cure or time to remission, then the null and alternative hypotheses for the superiority test can be expressed as

$$H_0: HR \leq M$$

$$H_1: HR > M$$

where M is greater than one. If the lower bound of the $100(1 - 2\alpha)\%$ confidence interval is greater than M , then you can conclude that the treatment is superior to the reference or control with respect to the given margin.

Hazard Ratio (or Risk Ratio)

The hazard ratio for the group variable is computed from the Cox regression coefficient for the grouping variable as

$$HR = \exp(b_{Grp})$$

100(1 - 2 α)% Confidence Interval for HR

The $100(1 - 2\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for the hazard ratio computed by **NCSS** is based on the Wald statistic, which is valid for large samples. The formula for the limits of a $100(1 - 2\alpha)\%$ two-sided confidence interval for HR is

$$\exp\left(b_{Grp} \pm z_{1-\alpha} s_{b_{Grp}}\right),$$

where b_{Grp} is the Cox regression coefficient corresponding to the Group variable, and $s_{b_{Grp}}$ is the standard error of b_{Grp} .

Wald Test

The formula for the Wald test statistic is

$$Z = \frac{b_{Grp} - \ln(M)}{s_{b_{Grp}}}$$

where b_{Grp} is the Cox regression coefficient corresponding to the Group variable, $s_{b_{Grp}}$ is the standard error of b_{Grp} , and M is the superiority bound. With large sample sizes, the distribution of Z is closely approximated by the normal distribution. With small and moderate sample sizes, the normal approximation is described as "adequate."

Data Structure

Survival data sets require up to three components for the survival time: the ending survival time, the beginning survival time during which the subject was not observed, and an indicator of whether the observation was censored or failed.

Based on these three components, various types of data may be analyzed. *Right censored* data are specified using only the ending time variable and the censor variable. *Left truncated* and *Interval* data are entered using all three variables.

The table below shows survival data ready for analysis. These data are in the CoxRegSub dataset. The variables are

Time	Months of survival
Status	Censor indicator
Treatment	Treatment category (C = Control, T1 = Treatment)
Age	Age of patient in years
Gender	Gender of patient
Count	Frequency (or count) variable

CoxRegSub Dataset (Subset)

Time	Censor	Treatment	Age	Gender	Count
14.29	1	C	20	F	1
16.68	0	C	20	F	5
3.38	1	C	20	F	1
3.97	1	C	20	F	1
10.43	1	C	20	M	1
11.66	0	C	20	M	4
6.51	1	C	20	M	1
11.86	1	C	20	M	1
5.71	1	T1	20	F	1
6.35	0	T1	20	F	6
11.36	1	T1	20	F	1
.
.
.

Example 1 – Two-Sample Superiority by a Margin Test for Survival Data

This section presents an example of how to perform a two-sample superiority by a margin test with survival data using Cox regression. Researchers want to determine if a new treatment (T1) is superior to the current standard treatment (C). The new treatment will be considered superior if the hazard ratio is less than 0.95. The data for this study are contained in the **CoxRegSub** dataset.

Note: This example only shows the output directly related to the superiority by a margin test. For description about the other reports available, see the Cox Regression chapter.

Setup

To run this example, complete the following steps:

1 Open the CoxRegSub example dataset

- From the File menu of the NCSS Data window, select **Open Example Data**.
- Select **CoxRegSub** and click **OK**.

2 Specify the Two-Sample Superiority by a Margin Tests for Survival Data using Cox Regression procedure options

- Find and open the Two-Sample Superiority by a Margin Tests for Survival Data using Cox Regression procedure using the menus or the Procedure Navigator.
- The settings for this example are listed below and are stored in the **Example 1** settings file. To load these settings to the procedure window, click **Open Example Settings File** in the Help Center or File menu.

Variables, Model Tab

Time.....	Time
Group.....	Treatment
Reference Group	C
Censor	Censor
Frequencies.....	Count
Higher Hazards Are	Worse
Hazard Ratio Superiority Bound	0.95

3 Run the procedure

- Click the **Run** button to perform the calculations and generate the output.

Run Summary

Run Summary			
Item	Value	Rows	Value
Time Variable	Time	Rows Processed	49
Censor Variable	Censor	Rows Used in Estimation	49
Frequency Variable	Count	Rows with X's Missing	0
Independent Variables Available	1	Rows with Y Missing	0
Number of X's in the Model	1	Rows Failed	36
Final Log-Likelihood	-142.3606	Rows Censored	13
Number of Likelihood Iterations	3 of 20	Sum of Frequencies	93
Convergence Criterion	1E-09	Sum of Censored Frequencies	57
Achieved Convergence	4.853289E-10	Sum of Failed Frequencies	36
Completion Status	Normal completion		
Starting B's	0		

This report summarizes the characteristics of the dataset and provides useful information about the analysis. It should be studied to make sure that the data were read in properly and that the estimation algorithm terminated normally. We will only discuss those parameters that need special explanation.

Final Log-Likelihood

This is the log-likelihood of the model.

Number of Likelihood Iterations

This is the number of iterations used by the maximum likelihood procedure. This value should be compared against the value of the Maximum Iterations option to see if the iterative procedure terminated early.

Achieved Convergence

This is the maximum of the relative changes in the regression coefficients on the last iteration. If this value is less than the Convergence Criterion, the procedure converged normally. Otherwise, the specified convergence precision was not achieved.

Rows Processed

This is the number of rows processed during the run. Check this count to make certain it agrees with what you anticipated.

100(1 - 2α)% Confidence Interval Test for Superiority by a Margin (Wald Test)

100(1 - 2α)% Confidence Interval Test for Superiority by a Margin (Wald Test)

Hazard Ratio (HR) = Hazard(Treatment="T1") / Hazard(Treatment="C")

Higher Hazards Are: Worse
 Null Hypothesis (H0): HR ≥ 0.95
 Superiority Hypothesis (H1): HR < 0.95

Alternative Hypothesis	Hazard Ratio HR*	90% Confidence Interval Limits for HR		Wald Test		Reject H0 and Conclude Superiority at α = 0.05?
		Lower	Upper	Z-Value	P-Value	
HR < 0.95	0.8590	0.4958	1.4884	-0.3012	0.3816	No

* In Cox Regression, the Hazard Ratio (HR) is commonly referred to as the Risk Ratio and is equal to Exp(B), where B is the estimated regression coefficient.

This report displays the results of the test for superiority by a margin. The Wald P-value is 0.3816, indicating that we cannot reject the null and cannot conclude superiority at the 0.05 level. Notice that the upper limit of the 90% confidence interval for the hazard ratio (HR) is not less than the superiority bound of 0.95.

Analysis of Deviance Section

Analysis of Deviance

Term(s) Omitted	DF	-2 Log-Likelihood	Increase from Model Deviance (Chi²)	P-Value
All Terms	1	284.9279	0.2066	0.6495
Treatment	1	284.9279	0.2066	0.6495
None(Model)	1	284.7213		

The P-Value is for testing the significance of each term after adjusting for all other terms.

This report is the Cox regression analog of the analysis of variance table. It displays the results of a chi-square test used to test whether each of the individual terms are statistically significant after adjusting for all other terms in the model.

The DF (degrees of freedom) column indicates the number of binary variables needed to represent each term. The chi² test is used to test the significance of all binary variables associated with a particular term.

Log-Likelihood and R² Section

Log-Likelihood and R²

Term(s) Omitted	DF	Log- Likelihood	R² of Remaining Term(s)	Reduction from Model R²
All Terms	1	-142.4639	0.0000	0.0022
Treatment	1	-142.4639	0.0000	0.0022
None(Model)	1	-142.3606	0.0022	0.0000

This report displays the Log-Likelihood and R² that is achieved when each term is omitted from the regression model. The DF (degrees of freedom) column indicates the number of binary variables needed to represent each term. The chi² test is used to test the significance of all binary variables associated with a particular term.

Example 2 – Two-Sample Superiority by a Margin Test for Survival Data with Adjustment for Additional Covariates

Continuing with Example 1, this example will demonstrate how to include additional covariates in the model in which the Group variable is tested for superiority by a margin.

Setup

To run this example, complete the following steps:

1 Open the CoxRegSub example dataset

- From the File menu of the NCSS Data window, select **Open Example Data**.
- Select **CoxRegSub** and click **OK**.

2 Specify the Two-Sample Superiority by a Margin Tests for Survival Data using Cox Regression procedure options

- Find and open the Two-Sample Superiority by a Margin Tests for Survival Data using Cox Regression procedure using the menus or the Procedure Navigator.
- The settings for this example are listed below and are stored in the **Example 2** settings file. To load these settings to the procedure window, click **Open Example Settings File** in the Help Center or File menu.

Variables, Model Tab	
Time.....	Time
Group.....	Treatment
Reference Group	C
Censor	Censor
Frequencies.....	Count
Higher Hazards Are	Worse
Hazard Ratio Superiority Bound	1.25
Categorical X's.....	Age, Gender
Reports Tab	
Regression Coefficients.....	Checked
Confidence Limits of Regression Coefficients.....	Checked

3 Run the procedure

- Click the **Run** button to perform the calculations and generate the output.

Output

Run Summary

Item	Value	Rows	Value
Time Variable	Time	Rows Processed	49
Censor Variable	Censor	Rows Used in Estimation	49
Frequency Variable	Count	Rows with X's Missing	0
Independent Variables Available	3	Rows with Y Missing	0
Number of X's in the Model	4	Rows Failed	36
Final Log-Likelihood	-141.0197	Rows Censored	13
Number of Likelihood Iterations	5 of 20	Sum of Frequencies	93
Convergence Criterion	1E-09	Sum of Censored Frequencies	57
Achieved Convergence	1.996924E-16	Sum of Failed Frequencies	36
Completion Status	Normal completion		
Starting B's	0		

100(1 - 2α)% Confidence Interval Test for Superiority by a Margin (Wald Test)

Hazard Ratio (HR) = Hazard(Treatment="T1") / Hazard(Treatment="C")

Additional Categorical X's: Age, Gender
 Higher Hazards Are: Worse
 Null Hypothesis (H0): $HR \geq 0.95$
 Superiority Hypothesis (H1): $HR < 0.95$

Alternative Hypothesis	Hazard Ratio HR*	90% Confidence Interval Limits for HR		Wald Test		Reject H0 and Conclude Superiority at $\alpha = 0.05$?
		Lower	Upper	Z-Value	P-Value	
HR < 0.95	0.8108	0.4599	1.4296	-0.4595	0.3230	No

* In Cox Regression, the Hazard Ratio (HR) is commonly referred to as the Risk Ratio and is equal to $\text{Exp}(B)$, where B is the estimated regression coefficient.

Regression Coefficients

Independent Variable	Regression Coefficient (B)	Standard Error of B	Risk Ratio Exp(B)	Wald		Pseudo R ²
				Mean	Z-Value	
B1: (Treatment="T1")	-0.209688	0.344742	0.8108	0.4946237	-0.6082	0.5430
B2: (Age=40)	0.232735	0.413718	1.2620	0.3225806	0.5625	0.5737
B3: (Age=60)	0.695165	0.425375	2.0040	0.3333333	1.6342	0.1022
B4: (Gender="M")	0.084476	0.344212	1.0881	0.5268817	0.2454	0.8061

Two-Sample Superiority by a Margin Tests for Survival Data using Cox Regression

Confidence Interval Limits for Regression Coefficients

Independent Variable	Regression Coefficient (B)	95% Confidence Interval Limits for B		Risk Ratio Exp(B)	95% Confidence Interval Limits for Exp(B)	
		Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper
B1: (Treatment="T1")	-0.209688	-0.885371	0.465994	0.8108	0.4126	1.5936
B2: (Age=40)	0.232735	-0.578138	1.043608	1.2620	0.5609	2.8394
B3: (Age=60)	0.695165	-0.138555	1.528885	2.0040	0.8706	4.6130
B4: (Gender="M")	0.084476	-0.590167	0.759119	1.0881	0.5542	2.1364

Analysis of Deviance

Term(s) Omitted	DF	-2 Log-Likelihood	Increase from Model Deviance (Chi ²)	P-Value
All Terms	4	284.9279	2.8884	0.5767
Treatment	1	282.4091	0.3697	0.5432
Age	2	284.7057	2.6662	0.2637
Gender	1	282.0997	0.0603	0.8061
None(Model)	4	282.0394		

The P-Value is for testing the significance of each term after adjusting for all other terms.

Log-Likelihood and R²

Term(s) Omitted	DF	Log-Likelihood	R ² of Remaining Term(s)	Reduction from Model R ²
All Terms	4	-142.4639	0.0000	0.0306
Treatment	1	-141.2046	0.0267	0.0039
Age	2	-142.3528	0.0024	0.0282
Gender	1	-141.0498	0.0300	0.0006
None(Model)	4	-141.0197	0.0306	0.0000

Adjusting for other covariates, it is still not possible to reject the null hypothesis and conclude superiority by a margin.

The Regression Coefficients and Confidence Limits reports give the Cox regression parameter estimates for both the group variable and the other variables included in the model. The hypotheses tested by these reports are that the regression coefficients are equal to zero.